

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,487	08/18/2003	Lien-Fu Huang	J4P3001-US920731	1853
7590 06/27/2007 TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC 5205 LEESBURG PIKE, SUITE 1404			EXAMINER	
			LEYSON, JOSEPH S	
FALL CHURC	H, VA 22041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1722	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/642,487	HUANG, LIEN-FU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph Leyson	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
• • •	DIVIS SET TO EVDIDE 3	MONITU(S) OD THIDTY (30) DAVS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	1 July 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
· — · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	a/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
The path of declaration is objected to by the	Examiner, Note the attach	ed Office Action of form F 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	The second secon					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
 Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International Bur 		en received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ot received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	• —	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice o	f Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other: _					

Application/Control Number: 10/642,487

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On p. 6, line 5, "52" (second occurrence) should be changed to --54--;

On p. 7, line 7, "32" should be changed to --23--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities: for proper idiomatic language and/or antecedent basis clarity,

In claim 1, line 17, "the receiving tank" should be changed to --the second receiving tank--; line 38, "as" should be deleted; line 41, "being" should be changed to --with--; line 46, "the" (first occurrence) should be changed to --a--; line 48, "the" (second occurrence) should be changed to --a--; line 60, "transversal" should be changed to --lateral--; and line 62, "layers" should be changed to --layer--;

In claim 3, line 9, "hole" (first occurrence) should be changed to --holes--, and "one" should be changed to --the--;

In claim 4, line 3, "device" (second occurrence) should be changed to --unit--; and line 7, "will fall" should be changed to --fall--; and

In claim 5, line 2, --first-- should be inserted after "two"; and line 5, "stuffing" should be changed to --dough--.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claims 2 and 7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 2, which is dependent upon claim 1, recites that the inner wall of the inner tube is formed with a plurality of convex strips and a plurality of concave portions. However, such limitations are already disclosed in claim 1, lines 35-37.

Claim 7 only further recites materials to be used with the claimed apparatus, which does not further limit the apparatus structurally. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987); see MPEP 2114. "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim." Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, "[i]nclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." In re Young, 75 F.2d *>996<, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)). See MPEP 2115.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites that the dough is fed into the left and right inlets of the main tube from the two first paths, that the dough will collide horizontally to the wall of the middle tube, and that part of the dough horizontally passes through the transversal (lateral) inlet of the middle tube. However, it is not clear what dough comes from which apparatus elements, and the structural relationship of the left and right inlets of the main tube and to the two first paths is unclear relative to the dough. The examiner suggests changing "paths; the dough will" in line 53 of claim 1 to --paths, respectively; the dough from one of the two first paths will--; and changing "part of the dough" in line 59 of claim 1 to --the dough from the other of the two first paths--.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, and/or to overcome the objections, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest the device, as recited by claims 1-8, including the at least two first guide units which are longitudinally arranged; each guide unit being a tapered cylinder; the interior of each first guide unit having the first screw propeller having the blades; the

Application/Control Number: 10/642,487

Art Unit: 1722

dough entering into the guide unit from the upper end of each first guide unit and then being transferred for being further processed; the at least two guide devices being horizontally arranged; each guide device being below and connected to a respective one of the two first guide units; each guide device including: the longitudinal first receiving tank; the inner wall of the first receiving tank being formed with the first path; another longitudinal receiving tank being arranged adjacent to the receiving tank; the wall of the second receiving tank being installed with the convex strips and the concave portions; the guide hole serving to communicate the first receiving tank and the second receiving tank; the upper opening of the second receiving tank being communicated to the first guide unit; the dough being guided by the screw propeller to the second receiving tank and then through the guide hole to the first receiving tank; the turbine pump being horizontally arranged to the first receiving tank so as to form the propeller for changing direction of the dough in the first receiving tank so that the dough in the guide hole is fed into the first path; the food output unit for outputting the cylindrical food including: the main tube having the left inlet, the right inlet and the longitudinal through hole; the middle tube having the longitudinal through hole and the lateral inlet; the middle tube being engaged to the longitudinal through hole of the main tube; the inner tube having the longitudinal through hole and being engaged to the longitudinal through hole of the middle tube; the inner circular path being formed between the inner tube and the middle tube; the cylindrical second guide unit being connected to the upper opening of the inner tube; the inner wall of the inner tube being installed with the convex strips and

the concave portions; the inner material guiding nozzle having the longitudinal inner material guiding holes; the inner material guiding nozzle being firmly secured to the lower opening of the middle tube; the outer material guiding nozzle with the longitudinal outer material guiding hole firmly secured to the lower opening of the longitudinal path of the main tube; the outer circular path being formed between the inner material guiding nozzle and the outer material guiding nozzle; wherein the second screw propeller has blades; the second screw propeller is pivotally connected to the second guide unit and the longitudinal path of the inner tube; the stuffing is filled into the second guide unit; then the stuffing is pushed to the output end of the inner tube by the second screw propeller to be as the inner layer material of the cylindrical food; wherein the dough is fed into the left and right inlets of the main tube from the two first paths, respectively; the dough from one of the two first paths will collide horizontally to the wall of the middle tube; thus the moving direction of the dough is changed to the longitudinal direction so that the dough moves longitudinally in the longitudinal path of the main tube; then the dough passes through the outer circular path to be outputted to be as the outer layer material; wherein the dough from the other of the first two paths horizontally passes through the lateral inlet of the middle tube and collides the outer wall of the inner tube; then the dough is guided by the inner circular path and outputted as the middle layer material; thus the three layers cylindrical food is formed.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Koppa (US 4,715,803), Koppa (US 4,748,031), Kobayashi (US 4,854,842), Kobayashi (US 4,966,542) Kobayashi (US 5,004,619), Tracy et al. (US 5,686,128) and Kobayashi (US 5,820,890) are cited as of interest to show the state of the art.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Leyson whose telephone number is (571) 272-5061. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gupta Yogendra can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JL

ROBERT DAVIS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300 1 200

6/24/00